

GRANT RUBRIC FOR FFYC – THRIVE Grants (Over \$6,000)

Last Updated 2/25/22

Please score based on the requested program/project area other funding not included

	5	4	3	2	1	Total
<i>I. Alignment</i>	Organization has extensive experience	Organization has relevant experience	Organization has some experience	Organization has little experience	Organization has no experience	
<i>II. Program Design</i>	Key activities are clearly described; methodology is very effective	Key activities are moderately described; methodology is effective	Key activities are partially described; methodology is good	Key activities are vaguely described; methodology is weak	Key activities are not described; methodology is very weak	
<i>¹III. Purpose</i>	Need is very relevant and has potential for system change; data is compelling and valid	Need is relevant and data is compelling and valid	Need is moderate and data is somewhat relevant	Need is little; data is weak	Need is not relevant and/or well documented; data is not included or clearly articulated	
<i>IV. Leadership</i>	Leadership has extensive experience	Leadership has relevant experience	Leadership has some experience	Leadership has little experience	Leadership has no experience	
<i>V. Innovation</i>	Is innovative and unique	Is creative and somewhat unique	Is somewhat creative; like other services	Is a little creative; replicates other services in the community	Is not creative or original	
<i>VI. Outcomes</i>	Method of measurement(s) is clearly described; degree of change is greatly significant; number served is very high	Method of measurement(s) is moderately described; degree of change is significant; number served is high	Method of measurement(s) is partially described; degree of change is somewhat significant; number served is moderate	Method of measurement(s) is vaguely described; degree of change has little significance; number served low	Method of measurement(s) is not clearly described; degree of change has no significance; number served very low	
<i>VII. Shared Goals</i>	Clearly articulated the organization’s purpose (common agenda) or goals	Moderately described the organization’s purpose (common agenda) or goals	Partially described the organization’s purpose (common agenda) or goals	Vaguely described the organization’s purpose (common agenda) or goals	No clear description of the organization’s purpose (common agenda) or goals	
<i>VIII. Sustainability</i>	Has excellent, concrete plans for sustainability after funding ends	Has quality ideas for sustainability after funding ends	Has an idea for sustainability after funding ends	Has little idea for sustainability after funding ends	Has no idea for sustainability after funding ends	
<i>IX. Budget</i>	Has very appropriate cost for its scope; has received significant other funding	Has appropriate cost for its scope; has received some other funding	Has somewhat appropriate cost for its scope; has requested other funding	Has little appropriate cost for its scope; has not requested other funding	Cost is not appropriate for its scope; has not requested other funding	
<i>X. Anti-Discrimination Policy</i>	Yes				No	
<i>XI. Collaborative adopted DEI Policy</i>	Yes				No	
Total: _____/55						

¹ Organizations focusing on career development (including job training/education), transportation, childcare, housing, and financial education will receive higher scores.