

GRANT RUBRIC FOR FFYC – THRIVE Grants (Collaboratives)

Last Updated 2/25/22

Please score based on the requested program/project area other funding not included

	5	4	3	2	1	Total
<i>I. Alignment</i>	Organization has extensive experience	Organization has relevant experience	Organization has some experience	Organization has little experience	Organization has no experience	
<i>II. Program Design</i>	Key activities are clearly described; methodology is very effective	Key activities are moderately described; methodology is effective	Key activities are partially described; methodology is good	Key activities are vaguely described; methodology is weak	Key activities are not described; methodology is very weak	
¹ <i>III. Purpose</i>	Need is very relevant and has potential for system change; data is compelling and valid	Need is relevant and data is compelling and valid	Need is moderate and data is somewhat relevant	Need is little; data is weak	Need is not relevant and/or well documented; data is not included or clearly articulated	
<i>IV. Leadership</i>	Leadership has extensive experience	Leadership has relevant experience	Leadership has some experience	Leadership has little experience	Leadership has no experience	
<i>V. Innovation</i>	Is innovative and unique	Is creative and somewhat unique	Is somewhat creative; like other services	Is a little creative; replicates other services in the community	Is not creative or original	
<i>VI. Outcomes</i>	Method of measurement(s) is clearly described; degree of change is greatly significant; number served is very high	Method of measurement(s) is moderately described; degree of change is significant; number served is high	Method of measurement(s) is partially described; degree of change is somewhat significant; number served is moderate	Method of measurement(s) is vaguely described; degree of change has little significance; number served low	Method of measurement(s) is not clearly described; degree of change has no significance; number served very low	
<i>VII. Shared Goals</i>	Clearly articulated the collaborative’s purpose (common agenda) or goals	Moderately described the collaborative’s purpose (common agenda) or goals	Partially described the collaborative’s purpose (common agenda) or goals	Vaguely described the collaborative’s purpose (common agenda) or goals	No clear description of the collaborative’s purpose (common agenda) or goals	
<i>VIII. Sustainability</i>	Has excellent, concrete plans for sustainability after funding ends	Has quality ideas for sustainability after funding ends	Has an idea for sustainability after funding ends	Has little idea for sustainability after funding ends	Has no idea for sustainability after funding ends	
<i>IX. Budget</i>	Has very appropriate cost for its scope; has received significant other funding	Has appropriate cost for its scope; has received some other funding	Has somewhat appropriate cost for its scope; has requested other funding	Has little appropriate cost for its scope; has not requested other funding	Cost is not appropriate for its scope; has not requested other funding	
<i>X. Anti-Discrimination Policy</i>	Yes				No	
<i>XI. Collaborative adopted DEI Policy</i>	Yes				No	
Total:						<u> </u> / 55

¹ Collaborations focusing on career development (including job training/education), transportation, childcare, housing, financial education, and case management will receive higher scores.

GRANT RUBRIC FOR FFYC – THRIVE Grants (Collaboratives)

Last Updated 2/25/22

Building Sustainable Collaboratives

	5	4	3	2	1	
² Partner-led	Organization has formal written agreements, extensive partnerships with a variety of other individuals and organizations	Organization has informal agreements (verbal), relevant partnerships with a variety of other individuals and organizations	Organization has no formal or informal agreements and some partnerships with a variety of other individuals and organizations	Organization has no formal or informal agreements and little partnerships with a variety of other individuals and organizations	Organization has no formal or informal agreements and no partnerships with a variety of other individuals and organizations	
³ Data-informed	Has excellent data to inform their vision, goals, strategies, and actions, and to measure progress; fully committed to sharing data	Has quality data to inform their vision, goals, strategies, and actions, and to measure progress; relevant shared data	Has some data to inform their vision, goals, strategies, and actions, and to measure progress; some shared data	Has little data to inform their vision, goals, strategies, and actions, and to measure progress; little shared data	Has no data to inform their vision, goals, strategies, and actions, and to measure progress; no shared data	

Backbone Operations

Backbone Operations	Staff in place to facilitate meetings, coordinate research, communicate, engage partners, collect, and synthesize data, measure outcomes, and catalyze initiatives	Collaboration has 5 to 6 of the elements as described under 5.	Collaboration has 3 to 4 of the elements as described under 5.	Collaboration has 2 of the elements as described under 5.	Collaboration has 1 or none of the elements described under 5.	
---------------------	--	--	--	---	--	--

Program Grants

Measurable, Meaningful Programs	Has excellent, specific, measurable and timebound program goals aligned with collaborative’s goals and the Foundation’s goals/strategic focus	Has quality, specific, measurable and timebound program goals aligned with collaborative’s goals	Has some, specific, measurable and timebound program goals aligned with collaborative’s goals	Has little, specific, measurable and timebound program goals aligned with collaborative’s goals	Has no, specific, measurable and timebound program goals aligned with collaborative’s goals	
---------------------------------	---	--	---	---	---	--

² Collaboratives that can clearly demonstrate a solid/sound infrastructure has been established that includes but not limited to having consistent meetings, meeting minutes, specific tasks/actionable steps, an identified leader/lead organization, etc., will be scored higher.

³ Collaboratives that can clearly demonstrate there is a system in place for collecting and managing data as well as a consistent data collection methodology within the collaborative will be scored higher.